JDPP

Vol. 9 No. 1: Januari 2021

JDPP

Jurnal Dimensi Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran http://journal.umpo.ac.id/index.php/dimensi/index



STUDENTS' PERCEPTION OF THE USE CONTEXTUAL TEACHING AND LEARNING TO IMPROVE SPEAKING SKILL

Wahyu Trimastuti [⊠], Santy Chritinawati²

Article Information

Article History:

Accepted Nov 2020 Approved Des 2020 Published January 2021

Keywords:

student' participant contextual teaching and learning (CTL), speaking skill

How to Cite:

Wahyu Trimastuti, dan Santy Chritianawati (2021). *Students' perception of the use contextual teaching and learning to improve speaking skill* :Jurnal Dimensi Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran Universitas Muhammadiyah Ponorogo, Vol.9 No 1: Januari 2021: Halaman 1 – 9. Penelitian ini untuk mengetahui apakah pembelajaran kontekstual dapat meningkatkan keterampilan berbicara atau tidak. Metode deskriptif kuantitatif diadopsi untuk penelitian ini. Populasi dalam penelitian ini adalah mahasiswa Akuntansi Komputerisasi Politeknik Piksi Ganesha. Dua puluh empat siswa AKE-K31 / 16 menjadi sampel penelitian ini. Instrumen penelitian berupa angket yang akan memberikan informasi tentang persepsi siswa saat menggunakan pembelajaran kontekstual. Sedangkan untuk mengetahui bahwa tes akhir memiliki signifikan atau tidak digunakan tes berbicara. Alat statistik yang digunakan untuk analisis data meliputi statistik deskriptif persentase dan mean, dan statistik inferensial ANOVA satu arah. Hasil: Berdasarkan pengetahuan siswa aktif dan termotivasi dalam setiap kegiatan dalam proses pembelajaran; Pembelajaran kontekstual dapat meningkatkan keterampilan berbicara dan memberikan suasana yang menyenangkan dalam proses belajar mengajar. Oleh karena itu, disarankan agar: (1) lebih baik menggunakan pembelajaran kontekstual untuk meningkatkan keterampilan berbicara; (2) siswa diharapkan lebih tertarik pada proses mengajar; (3) Hasil penelitian ini dapat digunakan untuk penelitian selanjutnya.

Abstract

Abstrak

This study is to know whether contextual teaching and learning can improve speaking skill or not. The descriptive quantitative method adopted for this study. The population for this study was the students of accounting computerized of Piksi Ganesha Polytechnic. Twenty four students of AKE-K31/16 are samples of this study. The research instrument was questionnaire which will give information about students' perception when use of contextual teaching and learning. Meanwhile, to find that the final test have a significant or not used speaking test. The statistical tools used for analysis of the data include descriptive statistics of percentage and mean, and inferential statistics of one-way ANOVA. Results: based on knowledge students were active and motivate in every activity in learning process; contextual teaching and learning can improve speaking skill and give fun condition in teaching learning process. Therefore, it is suggested that: (1) it is better to use contextual teaching and learning to iincrease speaking skill; (2) the students are expected to be more interest in the teaching process; (3) this result of the study can be used to conduct a further research.

© 2021 Universitas Muhammadiyah Ponorogo

ISSN 2303-3800 (Online) ISSN 2527-7049 (Print)



INTRODUCTION

The purpose of teaching English is to to improve the skill ability in English to create communication. Having five skills of English will make easier to communication. Speaking, listening, reading, and writing are needed to communicate well. Therefore, the ability of communicative competence, pronunciation, intonation, grammar and vocabulary are required. In English language, the mastery of speaking skills is priority and students should know how to use accurately.

Speaking has been classified to monologue and dialogue. A monologue speaking means that a speech delivered by one person. Meanwhile, dialogue speaking means speech which deliver two or more person who gives feedback each other's. Bailey (2005: 2) states that speaking consists of producing systematic verbal utterances to convey meaning. Speaking is an interactive process of constructing meaning that involves producing, receiving, and processing information. Speaking is one of difficult skill for students. It is a process to convey meaning, ideas, and receive the information. It involves about how to construct idea, language, and how to use grammar, vocabulary and pronunciation. Harris (1969: 81) states that speaking is a complex skill requiring the simultaneous use of a number of different abilities which often develop at different rates. Based on explanation above, speaking is complex skill that serves students' ability in receiving and using processing information correct vocabulary and systematic sound. Moreover, pronunciation and grammar are required.

The successful in teaching speaking is students can convey their idea to deliver speech in good pronunciation and accurate grammar. Lack of vocabulary make students speak reluctanly. When teaching English, the writer finds some problems that student lack of vocabulary, incorrect grammar, and lack of coherence. Many students difficult to get the meaning and less confidence when teacher ask them to deliver words. Some learners are very disheartened by little failures and some are very worried about making mistakes Therefore, the writer tried to apply contextual teaching learning in learning process.

Contextual teaching and learning is an educational process that aims to help student see meaning in the academic subject with the context of their daily lives, that is, with the context of their personal, social, and cultural circumstances. To achieve this aim, the system encompasses the following eight components: making meaningful connections, doing significant work. self-regulated learning, collaborating, critical and creative thinking, nurturing the individual, reaching high standards, and using authentic assessments. (Johnson, 2002: 24). It explains that contextual teaching learning ask students to engage situations that they know in real life. Therefore, they can make easier to express their idea based on their own knowledge. It also make students more motivate to try speak based on their real experience.

One of the reason contextual teaching learning is applied in speaking because this method will encourage students to be more confident to speak without asked by teacher. They will interpret their idea without coercion, because information which they tell to others is based on their knowledge. In this case, the teacher is as facilitator to help student be active in teaching process.

RELATED LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Contextual Teaching Learning (CTL)

According to Sears, 2001; Smith & Rothkopf, 1984 as quoted by Ortiz (2005: 360) CTL is an instructional approach that allows teachers to monitor students' activity relate their knowledge. Bern and Erickson (2001: 2) state that contextual teaching and learning is a conception of teaching and learning that helps teachers relate subject matter content to real world situations and motivates students to make connections between knowledge and its applications to their lives as family members, citizens, and workers and engage in the hard work that learning requires. In addition, contextual teaching and learning is an process teaching in class which have goal to see subject in their lives. To achieve this aim, critical thinking,

team-work and creative idea are required. (Johnson, 2002: 24).

So, it can be inferred that CTL is technique to apply the real life situation in teaching arning process which can make easier to students connection with their idea.

Bern and Erickson (2002) state that there are some concepts for implementing contextual teaching learning, they are as follow:

1) Problem-based learning

It is an approach that asks students to investigate subject to solve the problem accordance their skill. This approach focus on group discussion, collect new information and presentation the result.

2) Cooperative learning

It is an approach that order students to create team work to get new information to get the aim of learning.

3) Project-based learning

It is an approach that focuses on problemsolving investigations. Students have to work autonomously constructed their own learning, and get the result.

4) Service learning

It provides knowledge and skills to needs in the community through projects and activities. 5) Work-based learning

It is an approach in which workplace, or workplace-like, activities are integrated with classroom content for the benefit of students and often businesses.

Johnson (2002: 60) explains the components in implementing CTL as follows: 1) Self-regulated learning

Students can control themself to improve learning and responsibility to work individually and in group.

2) Making meaningful connections

Students are able to relate subject matter in school into real life situation.

3) Doing significant work

Students can perform significant work that has a purpose and benefit for others.

- 4) Applying creative thinking to analyze the problem and make decision logically.
- 5) Collaborating

Students are able to collaborate with others. The teacher monitors students to communicate and work effectively. Students are able comprehend what they do will affect others.

6) Nurturing the individuals

Teacher gives the students motivation because they cannot succeed without support from the adult.

7) Knowing and reaching high standards

Teacher identifies the goal and motivates student to get good result. Teacher asks to students to confident with the result.

Based on the concepts of implementing CTL above, the writer finds the teaching steps of CTL in teaching speaking as follows:

- 1. Teacher encourages students to use their idea and experience to understand about topic of lesson.
- 2. Teacher presents the speaking material and asks students do inquiry activity to achieve the goal competences in speaking activity.
- 3. Teacher order the students to work together (discuss) the material then present to others.
- 4. Teacher asks students to make a dialogue based on their experience and perform the dialogue.
- 5. Teacher and students reflect the learning. Discuss if there are difficult materials and give feedback each other's.

Last, teacher engages students to look for information that can be applied in solving the problem in their own life. So, the learning process will interest and less boring.

- 2. Speaking
- a) Definitions of speaking

According to Bailey (2005: 2), speaking consists of producing systematic verbal utterances to convey meaning. Speaking is an interactive process of constructing meaning that involves producing and receiving and processing information. O'Malley and Pierce in Hughes (2002: 74) argue that speaking means negotiating intended meanings and adjusting one's speech to produce the desired effect on the listener. From the definitions, the writer finds that speaking is a interaction skill involves ability in combining recognized and systematic sound to build verbal and non-verbal context.

Speaking instruction is important because it helps students can communicate naturally with native speakers. Furthermore, speaking activities are taught in the classroom should be raise learner's motivation and make the English language classroom a fun and less boring. (Nunan,1999 & Celce-Murcia, 2001). In fact, speaking can support other language skills. It helps student to convey meaning interaction with other skills. For instance, it was proved that learning speaking make easier to develop reading competence (Hilferty, 2005). Florez (1999) state the following skills underlying speaking:

- 1. Applying grammar structure correctly
- 2. Assessing characteristics to the target speaker include share knowledge in differences perspectives
- 3. Selecting appropriate vocabulary to audience for topic being discussed.
- 4. Applying strategies to enhance comprehensibility and check listener's comprehension.
- 5. Adjusting components of speech vocabulary include grammar structures.

O'Sullivan (2012: 244) states the aspects measured in speaking– accent, grammar, vocabulary, fluency, and comprehension. Hughes (2004: 127) states that must be tested in speaking are:

1) Accuracy

Grammatical/lexical accuracy is necessary in order to communication are acceptable.

2) Appropriacy

The aim of language is use word appropriate to function. In order to communication is clear.

3) Range

A fair range of language is available to the candidate. Good range able to express for many words.

4) Flexibility

There must be flexible between vocabulary and direction that will be delivering to create good communication.

5) Size

The ability to produce more complex utterances and to develop discourse of words should be attention.

Based on the explanation above, the writer finds that speaking is an interaction skill involves ability in combining recognized and systematic sound to build and share meaning through the use of verbal and nonverbal symbols in variety of context, which consists of five components-pronunciation, grammar, vocabulary, fluency, and comprehension.

2. Testing Speaking

The researcher chooses The Foreign Services Institute (FSI) analytic rating scale as quoted by O'Sullivan to be applied in testing students' speaking skill which includes pronunciation, grammar, vocabulary, fluency, and comprehension. O'Sullivan (2012: 244) presents the sample of an oral English rating scale that used 1-6 points.

 Tabel 1. The Foreign Services Institute (FSI)

 Analytic Rating Scale

Pronunciation	Criteria					
1	Pronunciation frequently					
-	intelligible.					
	Frequent gross errors and a					
2	very heavy accent make					
-	understanding difficult,					
	require frequent repetition.					
	"Foreign accent" requires					
	concentrated listening and					
2	mispronunciation lead to					
3	occasional					
	misunderstandings and					
	apparent errors in grammar					
	and vocabulary.					
	Marked "foreign accent" and occasional					
4	mispronunciations which					
4	do not interfere with					
	understanding.					
	No conspicuous					
_	mispronunciations, but					
5	would not be taken for a					
	native speaker.					
	Native pronunciation, with					
6	no trace of "foreign					
6	no trace of "foreign accent".					
6	accent".					
6 Grammar	accent". Criteria					
Grammar	accent". Criteria Grammar almost entirely					
-	accent". Criteria Grammar almost entirely inaccurate except in stock					
Grammar	accent". Criteria Grammar almost entirely inaccurate except in stock phrases.					
Grammar	accent". Criteria Grammar almost entirely inaccurate except in stock phrases. Constant errors showing					
Grammar	accent". Criteria Grammar almost entirely inaccurate except in stock phrases. Constant errors showing control of very few major					
Grammar 1	accent". Criteria Grammar almost entirely inaccurate except in stock phrases. Constant errors showing control of very few major patterns and frequently					
Grammar 1	accent". Criteria Grammar almost entirely inaccurate except in stock phrases. Constant errors showing control of very few major patterns and frequently preventing communication.					
Grammar 1	accent". Criteria Grammar almost entirely inaccurate except in stock phrases. Constant errors showing control of very few major patterns and frequently preventing communication. Frequent errors showing					
Grammar 1 2	accent". Criteria Grammar almost entirely inaccurate except in stock phrases. Constant errors showing control of very few major patterns and frequently preventing communication. Frequent errors showing some major patterns					
Grammar 1	accent". Criteria Grammar almost entirely inaccurate except in stock phrases. Constant errors showing control of very few major patterns and frequently preventing communication. Frequent errors showing some major patterns uncontrolled and causing					
Grammar 1 2	accent". Criteria Grammar almost entirely inaccurate except in stock phrases. Constant errors showing control of very few major patterns and frequently preventing communication. Frequent errors showing some major patterns uncontrolled and causing occasional irritation and					
Grammar 1 2	accent". Criteria Grammar almost entirely inaccurate except in stock phrases. Constant errors showing control of very few major patterns and frequently preventing communication. Frequent errors showing some major patterns uncontrolled and causing occasional irritation and misunderstanding.					
Grammar 1 2	accent". Criteria Grammar almost entirely inaccurate except in stock phrases. Constant errors showing control of very few major patterns and frequently preventing communication. Frequent errors showing some major patterns uncontrolled and causing occasional irritation and misunderstanding. Occasional errors showing					
Grammar 1 2	accent". Criteria Grammar almost entirely inaccurate except in stock phrases. Constant errors showing control of very few major patterns and frequently preventing communication. Frequent errors showing some major patterns uncontrolled and causing occasional irritation and misunderstanding. Occasional errors showing imperfect control of some					
Grammar 1 2 3	accent". Criteria Grammar almost entirely inaccurate except in stock phrases. Constant errors showing control of very few major patterns and frequently preventing communication. Frequent errors showing some major patterns uncontrolled and causing occasional irritation and misunderstanding. Occasional errors showing					
Grammar 1 2 3	accent". Criteria Grammar almost entirely inaccurate except in stock phrases. Constant errors showing control of very few major patterns and frequently preventing communication. Frequent errors showing some major patterns uncontrolled and causing occasional irritation and misunderstanding. Occasional errors showing imperfect control of some patterns but not weakness					



6 errors during the interaction. Vocabulary Criteria 1 even the simples conversation. 2 basic personal and surviva areas (time, food transportation, family, etc.) 3 limitations of vocabulary areas inaccurate for sometimes for sometimes inaccurate for sometimes for sometimes inaccurate for sometimes for sometimes inaccurate for sometimes for s	5	Few errors, with no patterns of failure.							
1 Vocabulary inadequate for even the simples conversation. 2 Vocabulary limited to basic personal and surviva areas (time, food transportation, family, etc.) 3 Choice of word sometimes inaccurate limitations of vocabular prevent discussion at som stages of the interaction. 4 Vocabulary adequate to participate in thinteraction, with som circumlocutions. 5 Vocabulary broad an precise, adequate to cop with more comple problems. 6 Vocabulary apparently a accurate and extensive a	6	No more than a few minor errors during the							
1 even the simpler conversation. 2 Vocabulary limited to basic personal and surviva areas (time, food transportation, family, etc.) 2 Choice of word sometimes inaccurate limitations of vocabular prevent discussion at som stages of the interaction. 3 Vocabulary adequate to participate in the interaction, with som circumlocutions. 4 Vocabulary broad an precise, adequate to cop with more comple problems. 5 Vocabulary apparently a accurate and extensive a	Vocabulary	Criteria							
2 basic personal and surviva areas (time, food transportation, family, etc. 3 Choice of word sometimes inaccurate limitations of vocabular prevent discussion at som stages of the interaction. 4 Vocabulary adequate to participate in the interaction, with som circumlocutions. 5 Vocabulary broad an precise, adequate to cop with more comple problems. 6 Vocabulary apparently a accurate and extensive a	1								
3 sometimes inaccurate 3 limitations of vocabular prevent discussion at som stages of the interaction. 4 Vocabulary adequate to participate in the interaction, with som circumlocutions. 5 Vocabulary broad an precise, adequate to cop with more comple problems. 6 Vocabulary apparently a accurate and extensive a	2	basic personal and survival areas (time, food, transportation, family, etc.)							
4 participate in th interaction, with som circumlocutions. 5 Vocabulary broad an precise, adequate to cop with more comple problems. 6 Vocabulary apparently a accurate and extensive a	3	sometimes inaccurate, limitations of vocabulary prevent discussion at some							
5 precise, adequate to cop with more comple problems. Vocabulary apparently a accurate and extensive a	4	participate in the interaction, with some							
6 accurate and extensive a	5	with more compten							
	6	accurate and extensive as							

Fluency	Criteria				
	Speech is so halting and				
1	fragmentary that				
1	conversation is virtually				
	impossible.				
	Speech is very slow and				
2	uneven except for short or				
	routine sentences.				
	Speech is frequently				
3	hesitant and jerky;				
5	sentence may be left				
	uncompleted.				
	Speech is occasionally				
	hesitant, with some				
4	unevenness caused by				
	rephrasing and grouping				
	for words.				
	Speech is effortless and				
5	smooth, but perceptively				
U	non-native in speed and				
	evenness.				
	Speech on all topics is as				
6	effortless and smooth as a				
-	native speaker.				
Comprehension	Critoria				

Comprehension	Criteria					
	Understand too little for					
1	the simplest type of					
	conversation.					
	Understand only slow,					
2	very simple speech on the					
	most basic topics. Requires					

	constant repetition and					
	rephrasing.					
	Understand careful,					
	somewhat simplified					
3	speech directed to him/her					
	with considerable					
	repetition and rephrasing.					
	Understand quite well					
	normal speech directed to					
4	him /her, but requires					
7	occasional repetition and					
	rephrasing.					
	Understand everything in					
	normal conversation					
5	except for very low					
5	colloquial or low					
	frequency items, or					
	exceptionally rapid or					
	slurred speech.					
	Understand everything in					
	both formal and colloquial					
6	speech to be expected of a					
	native speaker.					

METDHOLOGY

The descriptive quantitative method adopted for this study. The population for this study was the students of accounting computerized of Piksi Ganesha Polytechnic. Twenty four students of AKE-K31/16 are samples of this study. The research instrument was questionnaire which will give information about students' perception when use of contextual teaching and learning. The statistical tools used for analysis of the data include descriptive statistics of percentage and mean, and inferential statistics of one-way ANOVA.

The writer used CTL suppose can help teachers to monitor the process of learning accordance real situation and motivates students to make easier apply their knowledge to engage their speaking skill relate school subject in communication of real world situation.

Questionnaire used to know the students' perception toward the use of contextual teaching learning. The questionnare is 10 items was administered to twenty (24) students AKE-K31/15, outside the study area. The same questionnaire was readministered after two weeks interval on same respondents. The two sets of data were then correlated using Pearson Product moment



correlation to obtain a correlation coefficient. A correlation co-efficient of 0.76 was considered reliable for the study. The items in the questionnaire divided are participant responses and students' learning outcomes.

To ascertain the reliability of the instrument, test re-test method was used. The return rate of the instrument would be calculated or established, and percentage return rate would be considered for the study. The completed copies of questionnaire will be collated, coded and analyzed. The statistical tools used for analysis of the data include descriptive statistics of percentage and mean, median, mode and standard deviation of the speaking. Then, inferential statistics of oneway ANOVA used to know its significance or not.

FINDING AND DISCUSSION

Table 2.1 Participation Reaction

No	Items	Score				
		A	В	c	D	E
x,	Contextual teaching learning helped students to explore their experiences in life	0	0	0	11	10
X2	teaching learning less encouraged students to be actively in every activity	8	10	L	2	0
X3	Contextual teaching learning was make students less boring	0	0	I.	11	9
X4	Contextual teaching learning difficult to acquire and comprehend the materials	0	0	2	9	10
Xs	Story telling make students' raise their motivation	0	0	2	9	10
Total	where a second	20	17	5	34	29

The Average Score (items 1 to 5) $Y1 = 14/24 \times 100\% = 58.33\%$ $Y2 = 2/24 \times 100\% = 8.33\%$ $Y3 = 12/24 \times 100\% = 50.00\%$ $Y4 = 1/24 \times 100\% = 4.16\%$ $Y5 = 10/24 \times 100\% = 41.66\%$

Description:

- A : Strongly disagree
- B : Disagree
- C : Undecided
- D : Agree
- E : Strongly agree

It can be inferred that 39 was the highhest average score in point Y in which 58.33% of the population were agreed that the contextual teaching learning (CTL) helped students to develop their idea in their experiences life. 8.33% of the population disagree that contextual teaching learning (CTL) less encouraged students to be actively in every activity. 50.00% of the population thought that contextual teaching learning (CTL) was interesting way to learn speaking. Next, 4.16% of the data show strongly diasgree that CTL less difficult to acquire and comprehend the materials. Furthermore, 41.66% of the population strongly agree that contextual teaching learning helped to raise students' motivation

Table 2.2 Students' Learning Outcomes

No	Items	Sco	re			
		А	В	С	D	Е
X_6	Contextual teaching	0	0	0	10	14
	learning (CTL) helps					
	to raise students'					
	vocabulary					
X 7	Contextual teaching	0	1	2	6	15
	learning (CTL) helps					
	to improve listening					
	skill					
X_8	Contextual teaching	0	0	1	11	12
	learning (CTL) helps					
	to improve speaking					
	skill					
X9	Contextual teaching	0	1	1	11	10
	learning (CTL) helps					
	to improve					
	pronounciation skills					
X10	Contextual teaching	0	1	0	13	10
	learning (CTL) helps					
	to improve writing					
	skill					
Total		0	3	4	51	61



- The Average Score (items 1 to 5) Z6 = 14/24 x 100% = 58.33% Z7 = 15/24 x 100% = 62.50% Z8 = 12/24 x 100% = 50.00% $Z9 = 10/24 \ge 100\% = 41.66\%$ Z10 = 10/24 x 100% = 41.66% Description:
- А : Strongly disagree
- В : Disagree
- С : Undecided
- D : Agree
- E : Strongly agree

It can be said that 61 was the highhest average score in point Z in which 58.33% of the data were conclude that the CTL can improve students vocabulary based on their real life. 62.50% claimed that contextual teaching and learning helped to improve listening skill, through contextual teaching and learning students more interesting. 50.00% students think that contextual teaching and learning influence their speaking skill fluently. Students easy to interpret their knowledge and vocabulary when they told about their experience in real life. Yet, 41.66% students concluded that contextual teaching and learning helped them to develop pronounciation skills, with contextual teaching learning students try to practice their pronunciation in good utterance. Then, 41.66 % students inferred that story telling helped them to give idea when they are writing based on their experience life.

THE STUDENTS' SPEAKING ABILITIES

5	16301155	Student EE	70	76
6	16301113	Student FF	71	80
7	16301187	Student GG	66	72
8	16301169	Student HH	66	72
9	16301206	Student II	60	72
10	16301121	Student JJ	66	72
11	16301140	Student KK	70	80
12	16301098	Student LL	68	85
13	16301178	Student MM	72	82
14	16301154	Student NN	70	76
15	16301181	Student OO	70	76
16	16301128	Student PP	64	70
17	16301096	Student QQ	70	82
18	16301142	Student RR	68	74
19	16301201	Student SS	72	78
20	16301163	Student TT	70	78
21	16301091	Student UU	72	78
22	16301241	Student VV	74	80
23	16301191	Student WW	64	78
24	16301095	Student XX	74	80

Table 3.2 The Summary of ANOVA

	ents after te	e final test eacher used (ess based on	CTL. It	told a	Source of Variance	SS	df	MS	Fo	Ft(.0.5	Ft(.01
		data told that			Between columns	112. 50	1	112.50	7.51 8	3.92	6.85
	U	of mid test.	/6.80	was the	columns	50			0		
average score of final test. Table 3.1 Students' English Language Score			(Teaching – Models)								
NO	NPM	STUDENTS	MID	FINAL							
			TEST	TEST -	Between	264.	1	264.50	17.6		
1	16301168	Student AA	66	72	rows (Speaking)	50			76		
2	16301204	Student BB	70	78 _	- 	012	1	012.20	54.2		
3	16301081	Student CC	66	72	Columns by rows (Interaction	813. 39	1	813.39	54.3 56		
4	16301097	Student DD	70	82)						



Between groups	119 0.38	3	396.80]
Within groups	101 7.56	68	14.96]
Total	220 7.94	71		

Based on the table above, it can be said that: Because F_o between columns (7.518) is higher than F_t (3.92) at the level of significance (α) = 0.05 and F_t (6.85) at the level of significance (α) = 0.01, means that the difference between columns is significant. The mean score of students who are taught using CTL is 76.80 and the mean score of students who are not taught using CTL is 68.57. On the other words, applying CTL is more effective and interest for teaching speaking.

CONCLUSIONS

It can be concluded that contextual teaching learning give benefits to make students' speaking fluently. Based on the students' perception that contextual teaching learning is not only help to develope their speaking skills but it can develop the other skill in English such writing and listening. Using creative thinking based on their life situation, they easy to use vocabulary when they want to speak or write something. Based on the findings that most of the students' interest in learning process. Students become more active and less boring. They discuss each to solve problem the study. They can work and share ideas between students who have high achieving and students who have low achieving. CTL create students' motivations when they learning speaking. The class becomes more interesting and attractive. The writer was positively concluded that the contextual teaching learning gave better influence that helps students' develop their speaking skill and be able to explore useful information through many different resources.

REFERENCES

Ary, Jacobs and Razafieh.1985. Introductio to Research in Education. New York: Mc.Graw Hill

- Bailey, Kathleen M. 2005. *Practical English Language Teaching: Speaking*. New York: Mc. Graw Hill Companies Inc.
- Berns, Robert G. and Patricia M. Erickson. 2002. Contextual Teaching and Learning: Preparing Students for the New Economy. Available at www.nccte.com. It was accessed on November 22, 2017.
- Burns, A & Joyce, H. (1997). Focus on Speaking. Sydney: National center for EnglishLanguage Teaching and Reserach.
- Calka, Aneta. 2011. Speaking and Instructed Foreign Language Acquisition – Pronunciation Learning Strategies: Identification and Classification. Bristol: MPG Books Group.
- Conklin W 2012. *Higher order thinking skills to develop 21st century learners*. Huntington Beach, CA: Shell Education. Costu B, Ayas A & Niaz M 2010. Promoting conc.
- Creswell JW 2014. Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (4th ed). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Emilia, E. 2011. *Pendekatan Genre-Based*. Petunjuk untuk guru. Bandung: Rizqy Press.
- Florez, M.A. (1999). "Improving Adult English Language Learning Learners' Speaking Skills".ERIC Digest (Eric Document Reproduction Service No. ED: 435204)
- Gass, S & Varionis, E (1994). "Input, Interaction and Second Language Production". Studies in Second Language Acquisition:MPG Books Group
- Harris, David P. 1969. *Testing English as a Second Language*. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Hilferty, A. (2005). "The Relationship between Reading and Speaking Skills". Focus on Basic. Available at http://www.ncsall.net/?id=328, was accessed on November 15, 2017.
- Hughes, Arthur. 2004. *Testing for Language Teachers.* United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.

- Hughes, Rebecca. 2002. *Teaching and Researching Speaking*. London: Pearson Education.
- Johnson, Elaine B. 2002. Contextual Teaching & Learning; What it is and why it's here to stay.California: Corwin Press, Inc.
- Nunan, David. 1999. Second Language Teaching and Learning.Boston: Heinle & Heinle Publishers.
- Nunan, David. 1989. Language Teaching Methodology; A Textbook for Teachers. New Jersey: Prentice Hall, Inc.
- O'Sullivan, Barry. 2012. Second Language Assessment. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Ortiz, Araceli Martinez. 2005. Engineering Design as a Contextual Learning and Teaching Framework: How Elementary Students Learn Math and Technology Literacy. Available at http://www.iteea.org/Conference/ PATT/PATT19/Aracelifinal19.pdf. It was accessed on November 21, 2017.
- Pollard, Lucy. 2008. Lucy Pollard's Guide to Teaching English: A Book to Help You through Your First Two Years in Teaching. Lucy Pollard's e-book.
- Regina, S. (1997). English Language Arts: A Curriculum Guide for the Middle Level (Grades 6-9). Canada: Saskatchewan Education.
- Rusman, 2013. *Model-Model Pembelajaran*. Jakarta: Raja Grafindo Persada.
- Sleesongsom, W. & Suppasetseree, S. (2012). *Chatting* for improving English speaking skills in a Thai context. Foreign Language Learning and Teaching, 1(2). Retrieved from http://ejournal.litu.tu.ac.th/pdf/FLLT_ Journal_Volume_2.pdf Soars, J & L. (2010).New Headway Pre-Intermediate Student's Book, 3rd Edition.
- Soars, J & L. (2012). New Headway Intermediate Student's Book, 4th Edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Trachsel,M. & Severino. C. (2004). The Challenges of Integrating and Balancing Speaking and Writing in First-Year Rhetoric Classes. http://www.mhhe.com/socscience/eng lish/tc/trachselANDseverino/trachsel ANDseverino_module.html, accessed on November 15, 2017
- Wandasari,T (2011). Using Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) in Improving Students 'reading Skill in Procedural Test. Undergraduate Paper of UPI. UPI Bandung: Unpublished.
- Zhang. 2010. Cooperative Learning in the Classroom. Va: Curriculum Development.